

Full Title: *The Plutocracy Proudly, Publicly Proclaims Their Planned Planetary Population Plummeting Plague Program/Pogrom.*

Sources:

<http://capitalismsfundamentalflaw-wayforward.blogspot.com/2013/03/plutocracy-publicly-proclaims-planned.html>

<http://global-samizdat.org/Global-Samizdat/Global-Samizdat-entry.htm>

NB [**<Nota Bene>** -- “Note Well”]: The Final Section, Below, Documents Calls for Global Mass Extermination of **90%+** of the Human Race by Plutocracy Figures and their Spokes-Servants. The Introductory Sections Provide Background Needed to Comprehend this "Counter-Intuitive" Development in Capitalist Ruling Class Ideology.

FOREWORD. "Technodepreciation" names the core mechanism of the Dialectic of "The Capital-Relation" -- of the self-negation of Capital as the 'self-de-value-ation of capital-value'.

Technodepreciation is the outer, external, competition-of-capitals enforcement mechanism for the Marxian "law of the tendency of the rate of profit to fall", which, from the inner, immanent "law of [capital-]value" point of-view, results from the relative shortage of surplus-value owing to the growing dearth of variable capital in relation to constant capital as the societal self-force of expanding societal self-re-production grows in its ' "capital-relation"-al' form.

The following article traces the CATASTROPHIC psychohistorical impact of the growing phenomenon of the "technodepreciation" of concentrated fixed capital upon the ideology of its main owners, the capitalist ruling class, and, thereby, its CATASTROPHIC impact on the producer class: us.

PREFACE. The unified upshot of all of the earlier entries to this blog is as follows: the capitalist system -- capital as predominant social relation of human society's self-reproduction -- "lawfully" evolves a "humanocidal" ruling class, and also produces, in embryonic forms, the social-reproductive force "raw materials", and the social-relations of reproduction "raw materials", for a transcendence of the rule of that ruling class, thereby also averting that class's "humanocidal" global agenda, and 'potentiating' the opening of the first chapter of humanity's first "Global Renaissance" [Karl Seldon].

The political-economic "law of motion" of modern, capital-centered society -- of capital-value-dominated, human-alienated society -- is such that our global, planetary human society is converging, in this second, descendant phase of its capital-dominated stage -- for this planet, ever since about **1900 C.E.** -- to a global system ruled by competing, but antagonistically-cooperating globalized "individual capitals" and hybrid, national state-/private-capitalisms, increasingly consolidated 'meta-nationally', e.g., regionally, as treaty-based trading blocs and military mutual defense blocs [as George Orwell foresaw in his novel **1984**].

The latter will tend to be totalitarian police states, engaged in regimes of “permanent war” against “external security threats”, but, in actuality, engaged mainly in a war against their own citizens, especially against their own producing classes, *on behalf of a humanocidal ruling class which “owns”, and stands behind, the state-capitalist state and its bureaucracy, as previewed in the ruling class of the Nazi state, and in other “advanced capitalist” fascist-state-capitalist states.*

The “‘psychohistorically lawful’” -- “‘psychohistorically’” predictable -- objective of the predominant, most internationally-influential ruling class of this global system -- is conditioned by a context of the emergence of an ‘unprecedentedly comprehensive’ potential capability for the automation of production, and of that ruling class’s secret development of its own degenerate precursors of “the meta-human”, i.e., of human-genomic self-re-engineering, of android robotics, and of cyborg prosthetics/bionics.

Such a ruling class comes to believe that it no longer “needs” much of the traditional “advanced capitalist” working class, and that the growing impoverishment of such an increasingly unemployed working class makes that working class a growing threat to the power of that ruling class -- a threat which, thus, in the mind of that ruling class, must be eliminated at all costs.

As a result, the predictable ruling class objective is catastrophic “population reduction” of the global human population of *non*-ruling, producing classes, e.g., the **95%** elimination of that population called for by plutocracy spokes-servant and CNN mogul Ted Turner, among [many] other lower-plutocracy servants of the “high”, core plutocracy.

The many public proclamations of their planned planetary population plummeting by both spokes-servants and principals of this ruling plutocracy are sampled and ‘comentated’ below, to provide yet further empirical evidence in support of the extraordinary global strategic hypothesis offered in this blog.

INTRODUCTION. “*Fixed capital*” is both the ‘epitomeous’ form of capital-value, and, in effect, the prime focus of destruction, by the capital process itself, of capital-value, via what we have termed, in this blog, “technodepreciation” [in keeping with terminology developed by Karl Seldon].

A “law of motion” of modern, capital-centered society emerged from Karl Marx’s deep, dialectical, immanent critique of the ideology-vitiated science of capitalist “economics” -- of classical “political economy”.

His discovery of that “law” enabled him to foresee, along with so much else, and almost alone -- *almost* alone among the revolutionary critics of the then-emergent descendant phase of the global capitalist system, let alone among capitalist economists -- the immanent destiny of “*fixed capital*”.

His fullest description of his discovery resides in the *Grundrisse* manuscript, his voluminous rough draft of his critique of political economy, and, as such, a text all the more pregnant with vast insights into the origins, and the inner dynamics, of the capitals-system, and into the predictable future history of humanity.

That is, he was thereby enabled to foresee the future of the new kind of *means of production* that had emerged with, as native to, the capitalist system of society -- the industrial, factory *machine* as *means of production*.

This new kind of means of production is *especially susceptible* to massive “technodepreciation”, and is spawned by *the second phase of the self-development of the capitals-system, the phase of the “real domination”* of the capital-value-relation as human-social relation-of-production.

The destiny Marx foresaw for this new kind of means of production was one of what we would call, today, “*automation*”, a destiny that he -- with signal prescience -- called that of “*an automatic system of machinery*” [*«automatisches System der Maschinerie»*]:

“As long as the means of labour remains a means of labour in the proper sense of the term, such as it is directly, historically adopted by capital and included in its realization process, it undergoes a merely *formal* modification [M.D.: what Marx elsewhere terms the [merely] *formal* domination of capital, or the “*formal* subsumption of labour under capital”], by appearing now as means of labour not only in regard to its material side, but also at the same time as a particular mode of the presence of capital determined by its total process -- as *fixed capital*.

But, once adopted into the production process *of* capital [M.D.: “*of*” here meaning *both the production process belonging to capital*, and *the production process which produces* [more, new] *capital*; more, new capital-value; more of the capital-value social-relation-of-production], the means of labour passes through different [historical -- M.D.] metamorphoses, whose culmination is the *machine*, or rather, an *automatic system of machinery* (system of machinery: the *automatic* one is merely its most complete, most adequate form [M.D.: i.e., the form most adequate *to* “the capital-relation”], and alone transforms machinery into a system), set in motion by an automaton, a moving power that moves itself; this automaton consisting of numerous mechanical and intellectual organs, so that the workers themselves are cast merely as its conscious linkages.

In the machine, and even more in *machinery as an automatic system*, the use value, i.e. the material quality of the means of production, is transformed into an existence adequate to fixed capital, and to capital as such; and the form in which it was [first -- M.D.] adopted into the production process of capital, the direct means of labour, is superseded by a form posited by capital itself and corresponding to it. . . .

The full development of capital, therefore, takes place -- or capital has posited the mode of production corresponding to it -- only when the means of labour has not only taken the economic form of *fixed capital*, but has also been suspended [M.D.: *«aufheben»*] in its immediate form, and when *fixed capital* appears as a *machine* within the production process, opposite labour; and the entire production process appears *not* as subsumed under the direct skillfulness of the worker, but rather as *the technological application of science*.

It is, hence, the tendency of capital to give production a scientific character; direct labour [is] reduced to a mere moment of this process.

As with the transformation of value into capital, so does it appear in the further development of capital, that it presupposes a certain given historical development of the productive forces on one side -- *science, too* [is] *among these productive forces* -- and, on the other, drives and forces them further onwards.

Thus the quantitative extent and the effectiveness (intensity) to which capital is developed *as fixed capital* indicate the general degree to which capital is developed *as capital, as power over living labour*, and to which it has conquered the production process as such [i.e., *as capital* -- M.D.].

Also, in the sense that it expresses the **accumulation of objectified productive forces** [M.D.: e.g., of scientific knowledge as materialized in human-product physical objects], and likewise of **objectified labour** [M.D.: of human labor-time congealed in physical product-objects, and, thus, as “time-binding” in and via such physical objects [cf. Korzybski]].

However, while capital gives itself its adequate form as use value within the production process only in the form of **machinery**, and other material manifestations of fixed capital, such as railways, etc. (to which we shall return later), this in no way means that this use value -- **machinery** as such -- is capital, or that its existence **as machinery** is identical with its existence **as capital**; any more than gold would cease to have its use value **as gold** if it were no longer *money*.

Machinery does not lose its use value as soon as it ceases to be **capital**.

While **machinery** is the most appropriate form of the **use value of fixed capital**, it does not at all follow that therefore subsumption under **the social relation of capital** is the most appropriate and ultimate **social relation of production** for the application of **machinery**.

To the degree that **labour time** -- the mere **quantity of labour** -- is **posited by capital** as the **sole determinant element**, to that degree **does direct labour and its quantity disappear as the determinant principle of production** -- of the creation of use values -- and is reduced both quantitatively, to a smaller proportion, and qualitatively, as an, of course, indispensable but subordinate moment, compared to **general scientific labour** [M.D.: i.e., compared to “**universal labour**”¹], **technological application of natural sciences**, on the one side, and to the **general productive force** arising from social combination [M.D.: i.e., from “**cooperative labour**”²; the **nonlinear**, that is, **disproportionate**, amplified effect of the *objectively-socialized* labour, of many workers, organized by capital, *versus* the productivity of a similar number of workers as *isolated* producers][*Gleiderung*] in total production on the other side -- a combination which appears as a **natural** fruit of social labour (although it is a [M.D.: **human-}historic** product].”

[Karl Marx, *Grundrisse*, transl. & ed. by Martin Nicolaus, Penguin Books [Middlesex, U.K.: 1973], pp. 692-700, **bold italic shadowed** and underlined emphasis added by M.D.].

However, Marx’s theory of the “economic law of motion of modern society”, though it explicitly recognized the problematic of the phenomenon that Karl Seldon names “technodepreciation”, did not yet explicitly link it -- at least, not in the extant sources -- to the heart of that “law of motion”, to Marx’s “law of the tendency of the rate of profit to fall”.

Marx explained the “**law of the tendency of the rate of profit to fall**”, in the “inner law” domain [versus in the domain of the outer, external enforcement by the competition-of-capitals], as the result of a *developing* **relative dearth of variable capital-value**, because **variable capital-value** is the sole source of profit-providing **surplus-value**, and because, while **both** the variable capital-value and the constant capital-value components of total capital-value output **tend to grow in absolute magnitude**, the **growth of constant capital-value tends to outstrip** that of variable capital-value, as an expression of the growth of the social forces of production [e.g., of the growth of labor-productivity].

Often, Marx even linked what Seldon names “technodepreciation” [Marx uses other terms, e.g., “moral depreciation” [as opposed to “physical” depreciation, or “wear and tear” depreciation] to the “counteracting influences” to the “the law of the tendency of the rate of profit to fall”, which raises the rate of profit ratio by lowering the value of the constant capital component of its denominator, *once the “write-down” of that ‘technodepreciated’ denominator is in the past*.

But Marx often so linked without also noting that the “write-off” of that “write-down” -- the subtraction of the “vanished” portion of the original price/cost/value of that constant [fixed] capital from both the numerator and the denominator of that profit-ratio, or rate-of-return-on-capital ratio, typically manifests, *for the accounting period in which it occurs*, as a fall in the overall magnitude of that rate of profit ratio.

Marx and Engels also foresaw the [“lawful”] convergence of national capitalisms to ***state-capitalisms***, as part of their “economic law of motion of modern society”, a ‘foreseeing’ for which ample evidence is given by the following passage from a key text by Engels, **about** which Engels noted, in its preface, that he had read **it** in full to Marx prior to its publication, to insure that it represented the views of both:

“... since 1825, when the first general crisis broke out, the whole industrial and commercial world, the production and exchange of all civilized peoples ... have been dislocated practically once in every ten years.

Trade comes to a standstill, the markets are glutted, the products lie in great masses, unsalable, ready money disappears, credit vanishes, the factories are idle, the working masses go short of the means of subsistence ... bankruptcy follows upon bankruptcy ... the stagnation lasts for years ...

In these crises, the contradiction between ***social*** production and capitalist appropriation comes to a violent explosion.

... the productive forces rebel against the mode of production, which they have outgrown.

It is the pressure of the productive forces, in their mighty upgrowth, against their character as capital, increasingly compelling the recognition of their ***social*** character, which forces the capitalist class itself more and more to treat them as ***social*** productive forces, in so far as this is possible within the framework of capitalist relations.

Both the period of industrial boom, with its unlimited credit inflation, and the crisis itself through the collapse of the great capitalist establishments, urge forward towards that form of the ***socialisation*** of huge masses of means of production which we find in various kinds of joint-stock companies.

Many of these means of production are from the outset so colossal that, like the railways, they exclude all other forms of capitalist exploitation.

At a certain stage of development even this form no longer suffices; the official representative of capitalist society, the state, is constrained to take over their management.

This necessity of conversion into ***state property*** makes itself evident first in the big institutions for communication: the postal service, telegraphs, and railways.

If the crises revealed the incapacity of the bourgeoisie any longer to control the modern productive forces, the conversion of the great organisations for production and communication into joint-stock companies and ***state property*** shows that for this purpose the bourgeoisie can be dispensed with.

All the social functions of the capitalists are now carried out by salaried employees.

The capitalist has no longer any social activity save the pocketing of revenues, the clipping of [M.D.: bond-]coupons, and gambling on the Stock Exchange, where the different capitalists fleece each other of their capital.

Just as at first the capitalist mode of production displaced the workers, so now it displaces the capitalists, relegating them, just as it did the workers, to ***the superfluous population***, even if in the first instance not to the industrial reserve army.

But neither the conversion into joint-stock companies nor into state property deprives the productive forces of their character as capital.

In the case of joint-stock companies this is obvious.

And ***the modern state***, too, is only the organization with which bourgeois society provides itself in order to maintain the general conditions of the capitalist mode of production against encroachments either by the workers or by individual capitalists.

The modern state, whatever its form, is an essentially capitalist machine; it is ***the state of the capitalists***, the ideal collective body of all capitalists.

The more productive forces it takes over as its property, the more it becomes the real collective body of all the capitalists, ***the more citizens it exploits***.

The workers remain wage-earners, proletarians.

The capitalist relationship is not abolished [«aufheben» -- M.D.]; it is rather pushed to an extreme.

[Frederick Engels, Anti-Duhring, International Publishers [New York: 1966], pp. 301-305, ***bold italic shadowed*** emphasis added by M.D.].

However much -- as stated explicitly above -- Marx and Engels predicted the “lawful” convergence of family-capitalism to joint-stock capitalism [i.e., to limited-liability ***corporation*** capitalism], and then of that “‘***corporatist***’” ***capitalism to state-capitalism***, it is unlikely that Marx and Engels anticipated the full Orwellian horror of the anti-human viciousness of these national state-capitalisms that they predicted.

It is unlikely that they fully foresaw the totalitarian, genocidal, and, ultimately, “‘humanocidal’” character of those national state-capitalisms, starting, most visibly, with ‘Hitlerian’ Germany [“national socialism”], and Stalinist Russia [“socialism in one country”].

It is unlikely, for example, that Marx consciously grasped the full import of the prophetic subtext of his own words when he wrote, in volume ***I*** of ***Capital***, in a passage intended -- in our reading of it -- to characterize what we would term the ‘ascendance phase’ of the capitals-system, and the consequences of capital-relation-based ***production***, but which applies, also, today, in the “First World” of capital, as well as in its “Second” and “Third” worlds, in the ‘descendance phase’ of that capitals-system, to the consequences of capital-relation-based ***consumption***, and to capitalist ***non***-production, including to descendance-phase capitalism-induced chronic mass ***un***employment.

The latter is embodied -- as a result of the ‘stealth genocide’ programs of air pollution, water pollution, the additives-pollution of the prevalent, processed ‘pseudo-foods’ and of the pharmaceutical ‘pseudo-medicines’ [including of ‘pseudo-vaccines’] -- in the ‘obesified’, ‘diabeticized’, ‘asthmaticized’, ‘cancered’, ‘auto-immunified’, ‘alzheimerized’, and/or ‘autisticized’ majority populations of today’s “First World”, “Second World”, and “Third World” alike:

“What experience shows to the capitalist generally is ***a constant excess of population***, i.e., an excess in relation to the momentary requirements of surplus-labor-absorbing capital, although this is made up of generations of human beings stunted, short-lived, swiftly replacing each other, plucked, so to say, before maturity.

And, indeed, experience shows to the intelligent observer with what swiftness and grip the capitalist mode of production, dating, historically speaking, only from yesterday, has seized the vital power of the people by the very root -- shows how ***the degeneration of the industrial population*** is only retarded by the constant absorption of primitive and physically uncorrupted elements from the country -- show how even country laborers, in spite of fresh air and the principle of natural selection, that works so powerfully amongst them, and only permits the survival of the strongest, ***are already beginning to die off***.

Capital that has such good reasons for denying the sufferings of the legions of workers that surround it, is in practice moved as much and as little by ***the sight of the coming degradation and final depopulation of the human race***, as by the probable fall of the earth into the sun.”

[Karl Marx, *Capital*, volume I, «*The Productions-process of Capitals*», International Publishers Co., Inc. [NY: 1967], p. 269, ***bold italic shadowed*** emphasis added by M.D.].

In a likewise “excess of population”-related passage, ***this time*** from volume III of *Capital*, Marx wrote as follows:

“***A development of the productive forces*** [M.D.: E.g., a ***sufficiently*** comprehensive degree of industrial “***automation***”] which would diminish the ***absolute*** number of laborers, i.e., enable the entire nation to accomplish its total production in a shorter time-span ***would cause a revolution*** [and would thus also constitute an historical boundary, a productive force upper bound and limit, of the capitals-system; an end of the possibility of the capitals-system; of the capital social-relation-of-production as predominating social relation of social reproduction -- M.D.] because ***it would put the bulk of the population out of the running***”.

[Karl Marx, *Capital*, volume III, *The Process of Capitalist Production as a Whole*, International Publishers Co., Inc. [NY: 1967], p. 263, ***bold italic shadowed*** emphasis added by M.D.].

Of course, the capitalist ruling class would know this too, instinctively -- or even if only from the reports of “the best minds that money can buy”, to whom they would assign the task of studying Marx’s writings, and of communicating-back their ruling-class power-preservation-relevant findings.

Hence, that ruling class would “***undertake***” precautions against such a revolution, once such a degree of productive force development -- e.g., “a sufficiently comprehensive degree of industrial automation”, or, e.g., sufficient advancement in the R&D of ruling-class, degenerate forms of ‘the emergence of the meta-human’ -- was in readiness for them.

That is, they would prepare to “***undertake***” a large part of the global working class, by “***population reduction***”.

With regard to their prediction of the state-capitalist finality of private/competitive capitalism, and with regard to its anti-human ‘horrificity’, e.g., in the cases of the Hitler regime, the Stalin regime, and the Mao regime, a ‘horrificity’ which they apparently did ***not*** fully foresee, we can rightly plead, on Marx’s and on Engels’s behalf, as follows.

There is an inherent difficulty to envisioning, with full imaginative anticipation of their human-emotional and feeling significance, future states of human society, whose prediction is extracted, by dialectical-scientific reasoning, from the analysis of the seeds of those as-yet-unexperienced -- by the envisioner -- future states of human society, that are immanent in the present state of human society.

We can rightly make no such plea on our own behalfs, given what humanity has experienced in the years since the **1860s-1880s**, e.g., since the zenith of the ascendance phase of the capitalist-system; since the end of the “*Hundred Years’ Peace*”.

The mind-searing and heart-searing experiences, of, e.g., WWI, “The Great Depression”, WWII, the Hitler state-capitalist holocaust, the Stalin state-capitalist genocides, the Mao state-capitalist genocides, the depredations of the U.K.-created, and U.S.-created, state-capitalist military ‘servant-dictatorships’ throughout the “Third World”, the “Great Recession” and its state-capitalist, perpetrators’-corporate-welfare aftermath, etc., etc., *ad nauseam*, should have forewarned those alive today of what is to come, *unless the majority of humanity intervenes to avert this process; to overthrow the self-degenerated capitalist ruling-class by means of political-economic-democratic global revolution.*

The task of the previous entries of this blog has been to establish the Equitist prediction of our social present-to-future on the basis of the Marxian critique of the capitalist economics-ideology, and to determine both which parts of this prediction were also consciously derived and foreseen by Marx and Engels, and which parts were not.

The task of this present blog-entry is to present a sample of the proud and public proclamations and toutings of their “humanocidal” planetary plan by the ruling capitalist plutocracy.

This plutocracy is so confident that they have reduced the non-plutocracy -- the non-ruling-class public -- to such a degree of cognitive and collective dysfunction, and of ideological befuddlement and passivity, that the ruling plutocracy risks little to nothing by such disclosures, even though they might reach the “ears” of the targeted public.

These quotes exude the confidence of the plutocracy that they have successfully robbed the vast majority of humanity of any capability to comprehend the plutocracy’s plan, let alone to protest against it, let alone to revolt against it, and put an end to it.

HYPOTHESES on the Plutocracy's Planned Planetary Population Plummeting Program.

HYPOTHESIS Pℓ-1: The Concubine of England, "Prince" Philip, Wants You Dead.

In August 1988, the Deutsche Press Agentur [DPA], among other sources reported the following statement by [welfare-]"Queen" Elizabeth concubine, primary co-recipient of the state dole in the British Isles, and leader of the "'anti-human-ist'" World Wildlife Fund, "Prince" Philip:

"In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."

[<http://nwoobserver.wordpress.com/2009/08/26/the-population-reduction-agenda-for-dummies/>].

Well, I can only say that perhaps we must make allowances for the distorted perspective that results from an unproductive life of perpetual, lifetime, chronic unemployment. Such a life might lead its victim to view mass murder as a "contribution".

In the transition from 'serfism' to 'wage-ism' -- from feudalism to capitalism -- along with certain hybrids, e.g., 'aristocratized capitalists', who bought their way to a landed title, and 'capitalated aristocrats', who managed to convert their fiefs into, e.g., factories, there were also some unreconstructed, "pure" 'aristocratoids' of the old "feodal [ig]nobility", who managed, by hook and by crook, one might say, to make it into the new, capital-ownership-based ruling class.

They tend to show even greater degeneracy into misanthropic, mass-murderous sub-humanity than do the descendant-phase "pure" capitalist elements of today's global plutocratic ruling class.

The global mass murder that they are planning -- and already carrying out, in stealth mode -- makes their erstwhile servant-dictator Hitler look almost like the proverbial "boy scout".

HYPOTHESIS Pℓ-2: Environmentalist "Saint" Jacques Cousteau, Wants You Dead.

The November **1991** issue of the UNESCO Courier published an interview with "*Nature-lover*" [and, by his own words, *majority-of-humanity-hater*] Jacques Cousteau, which his statement in support of global mass murder, as follows: **"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day."**

[<http://nwoobserver.wordpress.com/2009/08/26/the-population-reduction-agenda-for-dummies/> ; <http://curezone.com/forums/fm.asp?i=1479057>].

In a few cases, there are dupes of the Meta-Nazi ruling plutocracy who fall for the Meta-Nazi party '*People are Pollution*' ideology/neo-pseudo-religion, out of an illogically and misguidedly exclusive "love" for the rest of Nature, that gave birth to humanity -- a "love" for non-human Nature only.

However, in the case of the 'Rocke-Nazi' and 'Rothe-Nazi' plutocrats themselves, they don't give a "hang" for Nature -- for non-human Nature and for human Nature alike. They "love" only their own convenience in exploiting and raping both.

They put forward the '*People are Pollution*' ideology only to elicit acquiescence, from the world producing classes, in their progressive mass murder of more and more of producing-class humanity, starting with the stealth extermination of those with the most epidermal melanin, and ending with the extermination of those with the least, hoping thereby to avert any global solidarity among the non-ruling-class majority of humanity, that might mount a successful resistance against the Meta-Nazi's global pogrom.

HYPOTHESIS Pℓ-3: The Rockefeller-Owned U. S. State Department Wants You Dead.

Thomas Ferguson, Latin American “case officer” of the U.S. State Department bureau originally known as the “Office of Population Affairs”, established in **1975** by Rockefeller arch-agent Henry “Dr. Strange Kiss” Kissinger, and later -- “cosmetically” -- rechristened into “The U. S. State Department Bureau of Oceans, International *Environmental*, and *Scientific* [sic[k]!] Affairs” is quoted as follows:

“There is a single theme behind all our work -- we must reduce population levels.

Either they [governments] do it our way, through nice clean methods, or they will get the kind of mess that we have in El Salvador, or in Iran or in Beirut.

Population is a political problem.

Once population is out of control, it requires authoritarian government, even fascism, to reduce it.

The Professionals aren’t interested in lowering population for humanitarian reasons. That sounds nice. We look at resources and environmental constraints. We look at our strategic needs, and we say that this country must lower its population -- or else we will have trouble.

So steps are taken.

El Salvador is an example where our failure to lower population by simple means has created the basis for a national security crisis.

The government of El Salvador failed to use our programs to lower their population.

Now they get a civil war because of it...

There will be dislocation and food shortages....

There were just too goddamned many people....

To really reduce population, quickly, you have to pull all the males into the fighting and you have to kill significant numbers of fertile age females....

The quickest way to reduce population is through famine, like in Africa, or through disease like the Black Death....”

[<http://renew.com/general2/kiss.htm> ; <http://curezone.com/forums/fm.asp?i=1479057>].

Evidently, such advocates -- and perpetrators -- of war crimes, and of other crimes against humanity, are the kinds of individuals with whom the Rockefeller’s Trilateral Commission, and their New York Council on Foreign Relations, like to “populate” the U. S. State Department, and other departments of the U. S. federal government, which the Rockefellers “own”, so as to lavish worker-taxpayers’ taxed-away wages on the Rockefellers’ projects of mass murder within other nations, and, now, more than ever before, within the U. S. itself.

HYPOTHESIS Pl-4: The [secretly Rockefeller-funded] funders of “The Georgia Guidestones” Wants You Dead. In June 1979, a pseudonymous agent [or agents] of an unknown group, under the name “R. C. Christian”, hired the Elberton Granite Finishing Company to build a massive granite structure, by some referred to as “the American Stonehenge”. The first “guideline” of these “guidestones”, carved into the standing stones of this gargantuan structure in eight human languages presently widely spoken -- Arabic, Chinese, English, Hebrew, Hindi, Russian, Spanish, and Swahili -- reads, in English: “**Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.**” [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georgia_Guidestones].

This -- “highly spiritual”, “environment friendly” -- “modest proposal”, given a present human population of approximately seven billion, merely calls for the mass murder of approximately **93%** of the human race -- **6.5** billion human beings.

Makes rebellious Rockefeller servant-dictators like Hitler, Stalin, and Mao look like -- what? -- rank amateurs?

We believe the monstrous Malthusian **MIS**-guidance carved into these stones at such great expense is just yet another little ideology-building project of ‘**The Rockefellerpest**’, designed, by those ultra-sociopaths, to appeal to the “spiritually” inclined.

HYPOTHESIS Pl-5: Stanford Professor-Hypocrite Paul Ehrlich Wants You Dead “To Save The Planet”, and Yet He Has Never Offered to Sacrifice Himself. Environmentalist “Saint” and genocidal maniac Dr. Paul Ehrlich equates you and your family to cancer cells: “**A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people.... We must shift our efforts from the treatment of symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions.**” [<http://curezone.com/forums/fm.asp?i=1479057>].

The logical thing for such population reduction advocates to do -- if they weren’t rotten-to-the-core closet classists and racists, that is -- would be to “*practice what they preach*” and off **themselves** and **their** families first, **not yours**.

But, curiously, it is always license to murder **you** and **your family** that they are after -- never to murder themselves and their own. They also seem to have a marked preference, a sadistic proclivity -- they might even call it a “love” -- for “**brutal and heartless decisions**”.

It appears that they would be **miserable** and **despondent** -- perhaps even **suicidal** -- if they could find no excuses for such mass murderous brutality and heartlessness, which are so dear to their “hearts”.

Maybe they need a course in formal logic?

HYPOTHESIS Pl-6: Putin State-Capitalist Dictatorship-Harbinger Mikhail Gorbachev Wants You Dead. The following quote from that herald of the Rockefeller-arranged Russian branch of the Meta-Nazi’s “New Dark Age”, Mikhail Gorbachev, leaves no doubt as to who his employers are, and as to whose “party line” he adheres to: “**...the ecological crisis, in short, is the population crisis. Cut the population by 90% and there aren’t enough people left to do a great deal of ecological damage.**” [<http://curezone.com/forums/fm.asp?i=1479057>]

No doubt it is Gorbachev's "socialist" culture, and his "socialist-*humanist*" upbringing, that lead him to want to spare **5%** of humanity, and to call for only a **90%** population reduction, *vis-à-vis* a "tough-minded", "entrepreneurial" capitalist like Ted Turner, who wants to murder all **95%**.

HYPOTHESIS Pℓ-7: Rockefeller Mass Media Servant Ted Turner Wants You Dead. CNN founder Ted Turner also lines up solidly with the Rockefeller/Eugenics "Party Line" [give or take **5%**]: "...A total world population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal." [from an interview with the "pro-environment" Audubon magazine].

[<http://truth11.com/2009/12/11/ted-turner-wants-forced-population-reduction-95-he-thinks-is-ideal/> ; <http://curezone.com/forums/fm.asp?i=1479057>].

Does 'Teddy-baby' make a strange bed-fellow -- in such partly-line adherence -- to Mikhail Gorbachev? Not really.

HYPOTHESIS Pℓ-8: Rockefeller Eugenics Employee Wants You Dead. Frederick Osborn, in the Galton Lecture for **1956**, published in a **1956** issue of the Eugenics Review [vol. **48**, Number **1**], lamented the widespread post-**WWII** public revulsion regarding "the very word eugenics", and proposed a new, stealth strategy, for implementing the Rockefeller-Eugenics Agenda:

"The very word eugenics is in disrepute in some quarters ...

We must ask ourselves, what have we done wrong?

I think we have failed to take into account a trait which is almost universal and is very deep in human nature.

People are simply not willing to accept the idea that the genetic base on which their character was formed is inferior and should not be repeated in the next generation.

We have asked whole groups of people to accept this idea and we have asked individuals to accept it.

They have constantly refused and *we have all but killed the eugenic movement ...*

... they won't accept the idea that they are in general *second rate*.

We must rely on other motivation ...

... it is surely possible to build *a system of voluntary unconscious selection*.

But the reasons advanced must be generally acceptable reasons [M.D.: Does this sound like abandoning traditional eugenicist racism and 'class-ism', and replacing those "rationale" with those of *environmentalism*? Does it sound like "*Global Warming*", anybody?].

Let's stop telling anyone that they have a generally inferior genetic quality, for they will never agree" [post # **235**: <http://www.unexplained-mysteries.com/forum/index.php?showtopic=170700&st=225>].

Of course, the advocates of the Eugenics mass murder global program/pogrom always postulate -- implicitly or explicitly -- in such writings, *without offering any proof*, that *they* are the people such that “the genetic base on which their *character* was formed is *far superior*” to the “genetic bases” of [most of] the rest of humanity, so that that rest of humanity should therefore be made extinct, by these “superiors”.

No doubt, this postulate of their superiority is “self-evident”, by way of their sociopathic proclivity -- actually, their *‘omnipathic’* proclivity -- for global mass murder. That is, their mass-murderousness proves their superior Darwinian “fitness” to any “tough-minded” person.

Actually, they represent the very *nadir* of human fitness, in the entire history of the human species to-date, and they represent the greatest-ever threat to the survival of the human species entire, whether or not they are counted as part of that species -- given their utter, and “un-becoming-human”, self-degeneration.

HYPOTHESIS PI-9: Rockefeller Intellectual Prostitute Dr. Eric Pianka Wants You Dead.

Rockefeller-owned “scientist”-prostitute and *‘humanocidal maniac’* Dr. Eric R. Pianka openly repeated, and flagrantly touted, the Rockefeller ‘multi-[“eu”]genocidal’ party line, in his **2006** acceptance speech for the *Texas* Academy of “Science” Distinguished *Texas* “Scientist” Award. Now, *Texas* is a state deeply and abjectly colonized by the Bush 'Mega-Crime' Family [the well-known, lower-plutocracy, Rockefeller-servant family, founded by Prescott Bush, arch traitor and financier of the Hitler Eugenics Regime for the Rockefellers, via the Brown Brothers Harriman investment bank, whose activities led to indictment under the **WWII** “*Trading With The Enemy Act*” after Rockefeller servant-dictator Hitler turned “*Franken*-dictator -- turned on the Rockefellers -- initiating **WWII**], **AND IT SHOWS:**

“Genocidal Population Reduction Programs Embraced By Academia

One such individual who embraces the notion that humans are a virus that should be wiped out en masse for the good of mother earth is Dr. Eric R. Pianka, an American biologist based at the University of *Texas* in Austin.

Dr Erik Pianka, the American biologist who advocated the mass genocide of 90% of the human race and was applauded by his peers.

During a speech to the *Texas* Academy of Science in March 2006, Pianka advocated the “need”

to exterminate 90% of the world’s population through the airborne ebola virus. The reaction from scores of top scientists and professors in attendance was not one of shock or revulsion – they stood and applauded Pianka’s call for mass genocide.

*Pianka’s speech was ordered to be kept off the record **before it began** as cameras were turned away and hundreds of students, scientists and professors sat in attendance.*

Saying the public was not ready to hear the information presented, Pianka began by exclaiming, “We’re no better than bacteria!”, as he jumped into a doomsday malthusian rant about overpopulation destroying the earth.

*Standing in front of a slide of human skulls, **Pianka gleefully advocated airborne ebola as his preferred method of exterminating the necessary 90% of humans, choosing it over AIDS because of its faster kill period.** Ebola victims suffer the most tortuous deaths imaginable as the virus kills by liquefying the internal organs. The body literally dissolves as the victim writhes in pain bleeding from every orifice.*

*Pianka then cited the **Peak Oil fraud** as another reason to initiate global genocide.*

“And the fossil fuels are running out,” he said, “so I think we may have to cut back to two billion, which would be about one-third as many people.”

Later, the scientist welcomed the potential devastation of the avian flu virus and spoke glowingly of China’s enforced one child policy, before zestfully commenting, “**We need to sterilize everybody on the Earth.**”

At the end of Pianka’s speech the audience erupted not to a chorus of boos and hisses but to a raucous reception of applause and cheers as audience members clambered to get close to the scientist to ask him follow up questions.

[<http://nwoobserver.wordpress.com/2009/08/26/the-population-reduction-agenda-for-dummies/> , **emphases added** by M.D.].

Of this incident, the Pianka Wikipedia entry states the following --

“Pianka’s acceptance speech^[13] for the **2006 Distinguished Texas** Scientist Award from the Texas Academy of Science^[14] resulted in a controversy in the popular press when Forrest Mims, vice-chair of the Academy’s section on environmental science, claimed in the Society for Amateur Scientists e-journal *The Citizen Scientist* that Pianka had “endorsed the **elimination of 90 percent of the human population**” through a disease such as **an airborne strain of the Ebola virus**.^[15] Mims claimed that **Pianka said the Earth would not survive unless its population was reduced by 90%** suggesting that the planet would be “better off” if the human population were reduced and that a mutant strain of Ebola (which has up to a **90% mortality rate**) would be the most efficient means.^[16] Mims’ affiliate at the Discovery Institute, William Dembski, then informed the Department of Homeland Security that Pianka’s speech may have been intended to foment bioterrorism.^[17] This resulted in the Federal Bureau of Investigation interviewing Pianka in Austin.^[18]”

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Pianka , **emphases added** by M.D.].

"Doctor Doom, Eric Pianka, Receives Standing Ovation from Texas Academy of Science

The following is excerpted from "[Meeting Doctor Doom](#)" by Forrest Mims, Chairman of the Environmental Science Section of the Texas Academy of Science:

... I watched in amazement as a few hundred members of the Texas Academy of Science rose to their feet and gave a standing ovation to a speech that enthusiastically advocated the elimination of 90 percent of Earth's population by airborne Ebola. The speech was given by Dr. Eric R. Pianka (Fig. 1), the University of Texas evolutionary ecologist and lizard expert who the Academy named the 2006 Distinguished Texas Scientist. Something curious occurred a minute before Pianka began speaking. An official of the Academy approached a video camera operator at the front of the auditorium and engaged him in animated conversation. The camera operator did not look pleased as he pointed the lens of the big camera to the ceiling and slowly walked away.

This curious incident came to mind a few minutes later when Professor Pianka began his speech by explaining that the general public is not yet ready to hear what he was about to tell us.

Because of many years of experience as a writer and editor, Pianka's strange introduction and the TV camera incident raised a red flag in my mind.

Suddenly I forgot that I was a member of the Texas Academy of Science and chairman of its Environmental Science Section.

Instead, I grabbed a notepad so I could take on the role of science reporter.

One of Pianka's earliest points was a condemnation of anthropocentrism, or the idea that humankind occupies a privileged position in the Universe. He told a story about how a neighbor asked him what good the lizards are that he studies. He answered, "What good are you?"

Pianka hammered his point home by exclaiming, "We're no better than bacteria!"

Pianka then began laying out his concerns about how human overpopulation is ruining the Earth.

He presented a doomsday scenario in which he claimed that the sharp increase in human population since the beginning of the industrial age is devastating the planet.

He warned that quick steps must be taken to restore the planet before it's too late.

Saving the Earth with Ebola

Professor Pianka said the Earth as we know it will not survive without drastic measures. Then, and *without presenting any data to justify* this number, he asserted that the only feasible solution to saving the Earth is to reduce the population to 10 percent of the present number.

He then showed solutions for reducing the world's population in the form of a slide depicting the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse.

War and famine would not do, he explained.

Instead, disease offered the most efficient and fastest way to kill the billions that must soon die if the population crisis is to be solved.

Pianka then displayed a slide showing rows of human skulls, one of which had red lights flashing from its eye sockets.

AIDS is not an efficient killer, he explained, because it is too slow.

His favorite candidate for eliminating 90 percent of the world's population is airborne Ebola (Ebola Reston), because it is both highly lethal and it kills in days, instead of years.

However, *Professor Pianka did not mention that Ebola victims die a slow and torturous death as the virus initiates a cascade of biological calamities inside the victim that eventually liquefy the internal organs.*

After praising the Ebola virus for its efficiency at killing, Pianka paused, leaned over the lectern, looked at us and carefully said, "We've got airborne **90 percent mortality in humans**. Killing humans. Think about that."

With his slide of human skulls towering on the screen behind him, Professor Pianka was deadly serious. The audience that had been applauding some of his statements now sat silent.

After a dramatic pause, Pianka returned to politics and environmentalism. But he revisited his call for mass death when he reflected on the oil situation.

"And the fossil fuels are running out," he said, "so I think we may have to cut back to two billion, which would be about one-third as many people."

So the oil crisis alone may require eliminating two-third's of the world's population.

How soon must the mass dying begin if Earth is to be saved?

Apparently fairly soon, for Pianka suggested he might be around when the killer disease goes to work.

He was born in 1939, and his lengthy obituary appears on his web site.

When Pianka finished his remarks, the audience applauded. It wasn't merely a smattering of polite clapping that audiences diplomatically reserve for poor or boring speakers. It was a loud, vigorous and enthusiastic applause.

Questions for Dr. Doom

Then came the question and answer session, in which Professor Pianka stated that other diseases are also efficient killers.

The audience laughed when he said, "You know, the bird flu's good, too." They laughed again when he proposed, with a discernable note of glee in his voice that, *"We need to sterilize everybody on the Earth."*

After noting that the audience did not represent the general population, a questioner asked, "What kind of reception have you received as you have presented these ideas to other audiences that are not representative of us?"

Pianka replied, "I speak to the converted!"

Pianka responded to more questions by condemning politicians in general and Al Gore by name, because they do not address the population problem and "...because they deceive the public in every way they can to stay in power."

He spoke glowingly of the police state in China that enforces their one-child policy. He said, "Smarter people have fewer kids." ...

With this, the questioning was over.

Immediately almost every scientist, professor and college student present stood to their feet and vigorously applauded the man who had enthusiastically endorsed the elimination of 90 percent of the human population.

Some even cheered.

Dozens then mobbed the professor at the lectern to extend greetings and ask questions.

It was necessary to wait a while before I could get close enough to take some photographs (Fig. 1).

I was assigned to judge a paper in a grad student competition after the speech. On the way, three professors dismissed Pianka as a crank. While waiting to enter the competition room, a group of a dozen Lamar University students expressed outrage over the Pianka speech.

Yet five hours later, the distinguished leaders of the Texas Academy of Science presented Pianka with a plaque in recognition of his being named 2006 Distinguished Texas Scientist.

When the banquet hall filled with more than 400 people responded with enthusiastic applause, I walked out in protest.

Corresponding with Dr. Doom

Recently I exchanged a number of e-mails with Pianka. I pointed out to him that one might infer his death wish was really aimed at Africans, for Ebola is found only in Central Africa. He replied that Ebola does not discriminate, kills everyone and could spread to Europe and ... the Americas by a single infected airplane passenger.

In his last e-mail, Pianka wrote that I completely fail to understand his arguments. So I did a check and found verification of my interpretation of his remarks on his own web site. In a student evaluation of a 2004 course he taught, one of Professor Pianka's students wrote, "Though I agree that conservation [sic] biology is of utmost importance to the world, I do not think that preaching that 90% of the human population should die of ebola [sic] is the most effective means of encouraging conservation awareness." (Go here and scroll down to just before the Fall 2005 evaluation section near the end.)

Yet the majority of his student reviews were favorable, with one even saying, " I worship Dr. Pianka."

The 45-minute lecture before the Texas Academy of Science converted a university biology senior into a Pianka disciple, who then published a blog that seriously supports Pianka's mass death wish.

Dangerous Times

Let me now remove my reporter's hat for a moment and tell you what I think. We live in dangerous times. The national security of many countries is at risk. *Science has become tainted by highly publicized cases of misconduct and fraud.*

Must now we worry that a Pianka-worshipping former student might someday become a professional biologist or physician with access to the most deadly strains of viruses and bacteria? I believe that airborne Ebola is unlikely to threaten the world outside of Central Africa. But scientists have regenerated the 1918 Spanish flu virus that killed 50 million people.

There is concern that small pox might someday return. And what other terrible plagues are waiting out there in the natural world to cross the species barrier and to which scientists will one day have access?

Meanwhile, I still can't get out of my mind the pleasant spring day in Texas when a few hundred scientists of the Texas Academy of Science gave a standing ovation for a speaker who they heard advocate for the slow and torturous death of over five billion human beings. ..."

[[see also http://www.evolutionnews.org/2006/04/doctor_doom_eric_pianka_receiv002118.html](http://www.evolutionnews.org/2006/04/doctor_doom_eric_pianka_receiv002118.html)].

[***Bold italics colored and underlined emphasis*** added by M.D.].