

F.E.D. Preface to E.D. Brief #6, on the wQ, by Guest Author “J2Y”

by *Hermes de Nemores*, General Secretary to the **F.E.D.** General Council

Summary. Our new guest author, known pseudonymously as “Joy-to-You”, and whom I shall reference herein, using the nickname with which he often references himself in our correspondence -- “J2Y” -- has provided to you, our readers, a new, short [just **8** pages], and highly-accessible «*entrée*» into the **second stage** of the **F.E.D.** ‘**First Dialectical Arithmetic**’, the wQ axioms-system of **dialectical arithmetic**, with its *core* set, or space, of **dialectical**, ‘**Whole-numbers-based, purely-qualitative meta-numbers**’ --

$$\mathbf{wQ} \equiv \{ \mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \dots \}.$$

What J2Y has accomplished for you is to develop a *single* new “*idea-object*”, denoted C_w, with which he shows how to *co-generate*, in a coordinated way, key new features of the wQ axioms-system, which are not [“yet”] extant in the nQ axioms-system. He does so by way of subsuming, into a “pure-**q**ualifiers” arithmetic, the “purely-quantitative” arithmetic of the Standard **W**hole Numbers[, the numbers contained in the set **W** $\equiv \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \dots \}$], showing how to *unify* some of the *core* novel characteristics of the wQ axioms-system of “purely-**q**ualitative”, **dialectical arithmetic**.

Background. **F.E.D.** presents the systems-progression of the ‘**Gödelian Dialectic**’ of the axioms-systems of the *standard* arithmetics, in their first-order-and-higher-logics’ axiomatizations, in accord with a Dyadic Seldon Function ‘*meta-model*’ which describes -- ideographically, and “purely-**q**ualitatively” -- a ‘**Meta-Systematic Dialectical**’ *order-of-presentation*, and **dialectical method-of-presentation**, of those successive systems of arithmetic. Using the notational convention that, if **X** denotes the standard number-space, or number-set, of a given kind of standard number, then that symbol, with a single underscore, and a **#** superscript, X_#, will be used to denote its first-and-higher-order-logic axiomatization [except that «*arché*» or starting systems are denoted by X_#], that **F.E.D.** *order-of-presentation* can be expressed as follows --

$$\mathbf{N}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\#} \rightarrow \dots$$

-- and the Dyadic Seldon Function-based ‘**dialectical meta-model**’ which generates that progression is --

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{s}_{\#}^{\uparrow}} = \left(\mathbf{N}_{\#} \right)^{2^{\mathbf{s}_{\#}^{\uparrow}}}.$$

Connected with the above-rendered *order-of-presentation*, **F.E.D.** presents the **dialectical progression** of the particular «*species*» of first-order-logic-only axiomatized **dialectical arithmetics**, that reside “inside” the «*genos*» of **F.E.D.**’s Q ‘**First Dialectical Arithmetics**’, in a corresponding order --

$$\mathbf{nQ}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{wQ}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{zQ}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{qQ}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{rQ}_{\#} \rightarrow \mathbf{cQ}_{\#} \rightarrow \dots$$

-- and the Dyadic Seldon Function-based ‘**dialectical meta-model**’ which generates that progression is --

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{s}_{\#}^{\uparrow}} = \left(\mathbf{nQ}_{\#} \right)^{2^{\mathbf{s}_{\#}^{\uparrow}}}.$$

In **E.D. Brief #5**, J2Y gave you his able and novel derivation of the nQ, basing the **first stage** of the **dialectic** of the Q. In new **E.D. Brief #6**, he provides his innovative derivation of the wQ, basing the **second stage** of the **dialectic** of the Q! Next, in **E.D. Brief #7**, he plans to present for you a pathway to the zQ, basing the **third stage** of the **dialectic** of the Q!

The axioms of the *core axioms sub-set* of the **F.E.D.** \underline{wQ} axioms-system for *dialectical arithmetic* are as follows --

- (§1) $q_0 \in \underline{wQ}$ [the axiom of «arché» inclusion].
- (§2) $[\forall w \in \mathbf{W}][[q_w \in \underline{wQ}] \Rightarrow [sq_w = q_{w+1} \in \underline{wQ}]]$ [the axiom of inclusion of \underline{wQ} qualifiers' ontological successors].
- (§3) $[\forall j, k \in \mathbf{W}][[[q_j, q_k \in \underline{wQ}] \& [q_j \not\approx q_k]] \Rightarrow [sq_j \not\approx sq_k]]$ [axiom of \underline{wQ} successor *uniqueness*].
- (§4) $[\forall x \in \mathbf{W}][\neg[\exists q_x \in \underline{wQ}] | [sq_x = q_0]]$ [the axiom of the \underline{wQ} 'archéonicity' of the «arché»].
- (§5) $[\forall w \in \mathbf{W}][q_w \in \underline{wQ}]$ [the axiom of «aufheben» connexion, or of *subsumption* [of the *subsumption* of the \mathbf{W} by the \underline{wQ}]].
- (§6) $[\forall j, k \in \mathbf{W}][[j \succ k] \Rightarrow [q_j \not\approx q_k]]$ [the axiom of the *qualitative uniqueness* of distinct \mathbf{W} -based ontological *qualifiers*].
- (§7) $[\forall w \in \mathbf{W}][q_w + q_w = q_w]$ [axiom of \underline{wQ} *idempotent addition*, or of *ontological category* [ontological *qualifier* 'unquantifiability']].
- (§8) $[\forall i, j, k \in \mathbf{W} - \{0\}][[j \succ k] \Rightarrow [q_j \pm q_k \not\approx q_i]]$ [the axiom of *irreducibility* for \mathbf{W} -based *qualitative sums*].
- (§9) $[\forall j, k \in \mathbf{W}][q_j \times q_k = q_k + q_{k+j}]$ [the axiom of the *double-«aufheben» evolute product rule* for \underline{wQ} *qualifier multiplication*].
- (§10) $[\forall j, k \in \mathbf{W}][q_j + q_k = q_j + q_k]$ [the axiom of *additive commutativity* of \mathbf{W} -based *qualitative / qualifier sums*].
- (§11) $[\forall w \in \mathbf{W}][q_w + q_0 = q_0 + q_w = q_w]$ [the axiom of the *additive identity element* for the \mathbf{W} -based *qualifiers* space].
- (§12) $[\forall w \in \mathbf{W}][q_w - q_w = q_0]$ [the axiom of the *self-differences* closure of the \mathbf{W} -based *qualifiers* space].

-- wherein **s** denotes the “Peano successor operator”, $s(w) = w + 1$, and wherein s denotes the \underline{wQ} version of that successor function, $\underline{s}[q_w] = q_{s(w)} = q_{w+1}$.

Each successor-system in the ‘Gödelian Dialectic’ of the **F.E.D.** axioms-systems progression --

$$\underline{NQ} \# \rightarrow \underline{wQ} \# \rightarrow \underline{zQ} \# \dots$$

is *more complex*, more “[thought-]concrete”, and more “definite” -- richer in “determinations”, in “features”, in ‘ideo-ontology’ -- than are its predecessor-systems, and hence is also richer in ideographical-linguistic expressive and descriptive capabilities and facilities than they are. Each successor-system also «aufheben»-“contains”, «aufheben»-“elevates”, and «aufheben»-transforms/-“negates” all of its predecessor-systems, and constitutes a “conservative extension” of its *immediate* predecessor-system.

Corresponding to the first three stages of **F.E.D.**'s *dialectical presentation* of the progression *within* \underline{Q} , expressed above, is that, to stage $s\# = 3$, of **F.E.D.**'s *dialectical presentation* of the standard systems of arithmetic:

$$\underline{H}_{s\#=0} = \left(\underline{N} \# \right)^{2^0} = \underline{N} \# ; \text{ with ' } \ominus \text{ ' signing 'antagonistic addition'/'summing of opposite qualities' --}$$

$$\underline{H}_{s\#=1} = \left(\underline{N} \# \right)^{2^1} = \underline{N} \# \ominus \underline{A} \#, \text{ wherein } \underline{A} \text{ denotes the "Aught"-numbers, } \underline{A} \equiv \{[\forall n \in \underline{N}][n - n]\};$$

$$\underline{H}_{s\#=2} = \left(\underline{N} \# \right)^{2^2} = \underline{N} \# \oplus \underline{A} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{AN} \# \ominus \underline{M} \# \equiv \underline{W} \# \ominus \underline{M} \# ; \underline{q}_{AN} \# \text{ unifying } \underline{A} \# \& \underline{N} \# ;$$

\underline{M} \equiv the “Minus” numbers;

$$\underline{H}_{s\#=3} = \left(\underline{N} \# \right)^{2^3} = \underline{N} \# \oplus \underline{A} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{AN} \# \oplus \underline{M} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{MN} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{MA} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{MAN} \# \ominus \underline{F} \# \equiv \underline{Z} \# \ominus \underline{F} \#,$$

wherein $\underline{q}_{MN} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{MA} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{MAN} \#$ reconcile $\underline{M} \#$ with $\underline{N} \# \oplus \underline{A} \# \oplus \underline{q}_{AN} \#$,

& with \underline{F} \equiv the Fractional Numbers, $\{[\forall z_j < z_k \neq 0 \in \underline{Z}][z_j/z_k]\}$.